## 21 January 2018

LESSONS THIS QUARTER				
6)	07 Jan 2018	Acts 16	A changed Family	
7)	14 Jan	Acts 17	The Unknown Known	
8)	21 Jan	Ps 139	Sanctity of Life – Value ALL	
9)	28 Jan	Acts 18-19	Incomplete Picture	
10)	04 Feb	Acts 20-21	Delivered	
11)	11 Feb	Acts 22-25	The Testimony	
			Answering Critics	
			Always on Mission	

## INTRODUCTION

The Value of Life – What is at Stake? 1) The soul of the child;
2) The future life of the child – who would have an opportunity to know the Lord and lead a fruitful life with rewards in Heaven;

Passage	Comments
	God's Sovereignty Over Every Birth
	<ul> <li>This passage highlights the wonders of embryonic development – and</li> </ul>
	God's involvement
	<ul> <li>We are not human tissue to be discarded like garbage, but</li> </ul>
Psalms	purposefully made to fulfill a specific plan by God
139:13-16	("My days were ordained for me before there was even one")
	<ul> <li>When we disrupt God's intent for someone's life (including our own)</li> </ul>
	through murder (suicide) or sin, we do not thwart God's sovereign
	will, but we do shortchange ourselves, and we impact others for
	generations
	Scripture is rather silent on the subject. No explicit teaching on it.
	One of the best affirmative responses comes from Albert Mohler, a
	staunch Calvinist, and President and Professor of Christian Theology
	at Southern Baptist Theological Seminary in Louisville, KY,
	http://www.albertmohler.com/2009/07/16/the-salvation-of-the-little-
	<ul> <li><u>ones-do-infants-who-die-go-to-heaven/</u></li> <li>Issues. Naturally, God being gracious and merciful, we think the pro-</li> </ul>
	spect for Him to doom innocent infants and mentally handicapped to
	Hell is inconceivable. However, there are issues to consider:
	1. Conceivably, since a sovereign God knows which babies would
	be miscarried, aborted, mentally handicapped, or die in
	infancy/childhood before the so-called age of accountability He
	could easily choose to instill a 'chosen' or 'elect' soul into those
	infants, and thereby circumvent the need for reconciliation since
	they would not need to atone for any sins (Mohler's position).
	<ul> <li>Which means they died without sinning. Though, no one is</li> </ul>
	perfect, God's grace through Christ presumably saves them
	<ul> <li>ISSUE: God becomes a respecter of persons based on age</li> </ul>
<b>_</b>	or mental capacity.
Do ALL	<ol><li>If ALL babies do go to Heaven, then, conceivably, the best form</li></ol>
Babies and	of evangelism is abortion and infanticide.
the	<ul> <li>ISSUE: Satan would be fighting for pro-life</li> <li>If ALL babies do go to Heaven then at what point is the age of</li> </ul>
Mentally	accountability when babies flip from being Heaven-bound to
Handi-	Hell-bound?
capped go	<ul> <li>ISSUE: If it is not 20 years of age as noted in Num 14:28-31,</li> </ul>
to	then it is very subjective and undiscernible
Heaven?	Problem with Calvinism on this Issue: John Calvin says God was
	our enemy until we were reconciled to Him by Christ [Calvin Institutes
	2.16.2]. A conclusion can be drawn from this (and other similar state-
	ments by Calvin) that all babies and mentally handicapped individuals
	who do not have the ability to participate in the reconciliation process
	are unable to attain Heaven. This is why true Calvinists (Presbyteri-
	ans) believe in the salvation aspect of infant baptism.
	Calvin agrees with Plato that children of loyalty (i.e., the elect) are
	distinguished at birth with some special qualities [2.3.4].
	<ul> <li>ISSUE. Calvin has no Scriptural basis for this belief. If it was</li> </ul>
	possible to test babies at birth for their distinguished special
	qualities that mark them as the elect, then some would want to
	have mercy on the others and abort/slay them before they add sin upon sin and be judged even harsher at the end of their lives
	<ul> <li>Scripture Justification. There are passages of Scripture that are</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Scripture Justification. There are passages of Scripture that are often used to show that the infant-minded might be Heaven bound:</li> </ul>
	a) 2Samuel 12:14-23. King David's son, from his immoral
	relationship with Bathsheba, died and David commented that "I
	will go to him, but he will not return to me." Some use this
	nassage to show that all babies go to Heaven

Passage	Comments				
	ISSUE. However, that is a misapplication. First, if David's son did				
	go to Heaven, and David knew it to be so, it could have been				
	because David was also a prophet, and God could have revealed that information to him. So this passage could not be applied to				
	all babies. In all likelihood, David was referring that he would join				
	his son in the grave as he too would die one day.				
	b) 1Kings 14:13. King Jeroboam's son died young because God				
	saw something good in him. Again, some take this to universally				
	show that all young children go to heaven if they were to die in				
	their innocence. <b>ISSUE.</b> That interpretation applies bad hermeneutics since the passage is only addressing one particular				
	child, and his eternal destiny is not directly indicated as being				
	Heaven bound; only that God's grace would keep him from being				
	eaten by dogs as the rest of his family would be.				
	c) Ezekiel 16:21. "You have slain my children." This was God's				
	charge against Israel for sacrificing "His" children to false idols.				
	Again, some use this to universally show that all babies go to Heaven, but again, that is bad hermeneutics for this passage.				
	<b>ISSUE.</b> At best we can only assume those children sacrificed				
Do ALL	were Heaven-bound, but not necessarily so. God also considered				
Babies and	all Israel as His people, His children, His nation; but we know				
the	"they are not all Israel who are descended from Israel" (Rom 9:6).				
Mentally	IOW, not all Israelites are Heaven-bound, though all Israelites are considered God's people, God's children.				
Handi-	d) Mat 19:14. Jesus said, "Permit little children to come unto me: for				
capped go	of such is the kingdom of heaven." ISSUE. Childlikeness is what				
to	gets someone into Heaven; and not just being a child.				
Heaven?	Isaiah 9:17 depicts children who were destined for Hell – "Nor				
(continued)	does [God] have pity on their orphans or their widows; for every one of them is godless and an evildoer"				
· ,	<ul> <li>Last Thoughts. Scripture is very silent on this subject of whether or</li> </ul>				
	not some. ALL, or no infants and the infant-minded automatically go				
	to Heaven upon their death. Arguments abound pro and con, but they				
	all have weaknesses. The Catholics, not finding a reasonable solution in the Bible, contrived in the 13th century the concept of LIMBO for unbaptized infants & the mentally handicapped. In recent years the				
	lief and concluded that all children who die do so in the expectation of				
	"the universal salvation of God" and the "mediation of Christ", whether				
		baptized or not. In effect, they believe that all children who die go to			
	Heaven. Recall that Calvin's answer to this predicament, like the				
	Catholics and Presbyterians, was to have all infants baptized to as- sure their entrance into Heaven.				
	CONCLUSION. We have to admit that "secret things belong onto				
	God" (Deut 29:29). We can only go as far as the Bible goes, and				

 CONCLUSION. We have to admit that "secret things belong onto God" (Deut 29:29). We can only go as far as the Bible goes, and assume the best – that God will do what is righteous and just. When we get to Heaven ourselves, we will more fully understand, and we will glorify God whether some, none, or ALL infant-minded souls are covered under the blood of Christ.

## CONCLUSION/APPLICATIONS

- What are we doing to protect and nurture the unborn?
- We need to look at the unborn as having the inalienable right from God to live, to grow, and to make a choice for or against God



"THE GREATEST DESTROYER OF LOVE AND PEACE IS ABORTION, WHICH IS WAR AGAINST THE CHILD. THE MOTHER DOESN'T LEARN TO LOVE, BUT KILLS TO SOLVE HER OWN PROBLEMS. ANY COUNTRY THAT ACCEPTS ABORTION IS NOT TEACHING ITS PEOPLE TO LOVE, BUT TO USE ANY VIOLENCE TO GET WHAT THEY WANT." - MOTHER TERESA